

smaller than the value of 107.1° reported for norbornane,¹⁹ reflecting increased strain. The flap angle C(5)-O(1)-C(5') is also small (97.3°) but not quite as low as the corresponding angle in norbornane (95.3°)¹⁹ or the dimer (95.1°).¹⁸ The presence of this tight angle pinching C(5) and C(5') causes an elongation of the C(1)-C(4) bond to 1.576 Å; the other bond lengths are more or less normal. The same reflex effect is present in norbornane (1.578 Å)¹⁹ and the dimer (1.568 Å)¹⁸ and appears to be a general phenomenon in bicyclo[2.2.1] systems.

As 1 and 2 cannot interconvert under our conditions,¹⁵ it is not possible to write a plausible mechanism from 2 to 3 or from 1 to 7. Nonetheless, a second piece of corroborating evidence was desired. Consequently, the reaction of 4 with malondialdehyde was investigated, since it was expected that if 2,6-bis(methoxycarbonyl)-5-hydroxycyclohex-2-en-1-one (the six-membered-ring analogue of 1) were to form at low pH, it would aromatize to 2,6-bis(methoxycarbonyl)phenol (9, Scheme III). In fact, no 9 could be isolated at pH 7-9, which is in itself remarkable considering the high yields of phenols obtained from 4 and many 2-substituted malondialdehydes.²⁰ The product in this pH range was 2,4,6,8-tetrakis(methoxycarbonyl)bicyclo[3.3.1]nonane-3,7-dione (10, 60% yield).²¹ In complete confirmation of our prediction, 9 was produced in 41% yield at pH 5, where the yield of 10 was 35%.²² Treatment of 9 with 4 at pH 8 does not produce any 10. Although phenol formation is generally more rapid at basic pH's, higher yields have been obtained at pH 5 when the starting material decomposed at higher pH.²³ This is not the case in our system. The striking similarity in the behavior of malondialdehyde to that proposed for glyoxal provides strong support for the intermediacy of 1 at low pH.

Attempts to isolate 1 have thus far failed, which is not surprising in light of the fact that 2-(methoxycarbonyl)cyclopent-2-en-1-one is stable in dilute solution at -10 °C but polymerizes upon attempted purification.¹⁴ Compound 1 is presumably even more reactive. A 1:1 adduct of 4 has been observed spectroscopically upon admixture of 4 and phenylglyoxal, but it was too labile to be isolated.⁴ Now that the pH dependence of the condensations of dimethyl 3-oxoglutarate with glyoxal and malondialdehyde is understood, it will be possible to use these previously recondite reactions in a more rational manner for the quick construction of complex natural products and man-made molecules of theoretical interest.

Acknowledgment. The authors express their gratitude to the late Professor R. B. Woodward, under whose aegis part of this work was carried out, and to Dr. U. Weiss (NIH) for his unselfish and energetic assistance. We also thank M. L. M. Schilling, who measured the ¹³C NMR spectra, and M. Hellman, who determined the molecular weights.

Registry No. 1, 77589-52-7; 4, 1830-54-2; 5b, 68703-09-3; 7b, 58648-30-9; 8, 77589-53-8; 10, 77589-54-9; glyoxal, 107-22-2.

(18) Neely, S. C.; van der Helm, D.; Marchand, A. P.; Hayes, B. R. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B* 1976, B32, 561-6.

(19) Dallinga, G.; Toneman, L. H. *Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas* 1968, 87, 795-804.

(20) Wedemeyer, K.-F. "Methoden der Organischen Chemie (Houben-Weyl)"; Müller, E., Ed.; Georg Thieme Verlag: Stuttgart, 1976; Vol. VI/1c, pp 891-9.

(21) Satisfactory analytical and spectral data have been obtained for this new compound.

(22) These yields were measured by ¹H NMR; the isolated yield of pure 9 was 10% after a lengthy purification. For the first preparation of 9, see: Graebe, C.; Kraft, H. *Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges.* 1906, 39, 800.

(23) Harris, T. M.; Carney, R. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1966, 88, 2053-4.

Supplementary Material Available: Crystal and refinement data, atomic coordinates, and bond and torsion angles (4 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

*S.H.B. Bell Laboratories; W.O.A. and J.V.S., National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. The glyoxal chemistry discussed herein has been abstracted from: Bertz, S. H. Doctoral Dissertation, Harvard University, 1978. The malondialdehyde chemistry was presented by S.H.B. at the Third IUPAC Symposium on Organic Synthesis, Madison, WI, June 18, 1980.

Steven H. Bertz,* William O. Adams, J. V. Silverton

Bell Laboratories
Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974
and the National Heart, Lung, and Blood
Institute
National Institutes of Health
Bethesda, Maryland 20205
Received October 27, 1980

Aporphines. 35. Synthesis of (*R*)-(-)- and (*S*)-(+)-Apomorphine from Thebaine and (+)-Bulbocapnine

Summary: A practical method for the synthesis of (-)-apomorphine and (-)-*N-n*-propylnoramorphine from the opioid thebaine is presented. The method is also applicable to the transformation of (+)-bulbocapnine to (+)-apomorphine.

Sir: Apomorphine (APO, 8a) was first prepared in 1869 by the acid treatment of morphine.¹ The structure of APO was elucidated in 1902,² and its absolute configuration was determined to be *R* in 1955.³ In 1970 the total synthesis of (±)-APO was carried out by a multistep process from isoquinoline and vanillin.⁴ (±)-APO was resolved into (-) and (+) enantiomers in 1973,⁵ and it was established that dopaminergic activity resides principally in the 6a*R* (levorotatory) isomer. In the century following its first preparation, APO was used in a variety of clinical disorders.⁶ With the demonstration in the mid and late 1960's that APO is a dopamine (DA) receptor agonist and evidence that a derangement of DA function may play a role in various neurological, psychiatric, and other disorders, there has been a renewed interest in clinical and pharmacological research with this compound and its more potent *N*-propyl homologue 8b (NPA).

The actions of (-)-APO at DA-sensitive cells have received further support in studies of the iontophoretic application of APO to striatal neurons,⁷ the stimulation of DA-sensitive adenylate cyclase by APO^{8,9} and the use of radioactive ligands including ³H-APO¹⁰ and ³H-NPA¹¹ to

(1) A. Mathiessen and C. R. A. Wright, *Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. B*, 17, 455 (1869).

(2) R. Paschorr, B. Jaecke, and H. Fecht, *Chem. Ber.*, 35, 4377 (1902).

(3) H. Corrodi and E. Hardegger, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 38, 2038 (1955).

(4) J. L. Neumeyer, B. R. Neustadt, and K. K. Weinhardt, *J. Pharm. Sci.*, 59, 1850 (1970).

(5) W. Saari, S. W. King, and V. J. Lotti, *J. Med. Chem.*, 16, 171 (1973).

(6) The historical highlights of the chemistry, pharmacology, and early clinical uses of apomorphine have been recently reviewed by J. L. Neumeyer, S. Lal, and R. J. Baldessarini, "Apomorphine and Related Dopamine Mimetics, Vol. 1: Basic Pharmacology", G. L. Gessa and G. U. Corsini, Eds., Raven Press, New York, 1981, pp 1-12.

(7) G. R. Siggins, B. J. Hoffer, F. E. Bloom, and U. Ungerstedt in "The Basal Ganglia", M. Y. Yahr, Ed., Raven Press, New York, 1976, pp 227-48.

(8) J. W. Keabian, G. L. Petzold, and P. Greengard, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 69, 2145 (1974).

(9) R. J. Miller, P. H. Kelly, and J. L. Neumeyer, *Eur. J. Pharmacol.*, 35, 77 (1976).

(10) P. Seeman, T. Lee, M. Chau-Wong, J. Tedesco, and K. Wong, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 73, 4354 (1976); L. Thal, I. Creese, and S. H. Snyder, *Eur. J. Pharmacol.*, 49, 295 (1978).

